State of New Hampshire Before the New Hampshire Public Utilities Commission

Joint Petition of Hollis Telephone Company,)
Inc., Kearsarge Telephone Company,)
Merrimack County Telephone Company, and)
Wilton Telephone Company, Inc., for Authority	
to Block the Termination of Traffic from	
Global NAPs, Inc., to Exchanges of the Joint	Ó
Petitioners in the Public Switched Telephone	Ó
Network)
	Case No. DT 10-137
Plaintiffs,) `
))
V.)
GLOBAL NAPS, INC.,	
GLOBAL NAI 5, INC.,)
	<i>)</i> }
Defendant	<u> </u>

RESPONSE OF GLOBAL NAPS, INC. IN FAVOR OF PREVENTING CUT OFF BY FAIRPOINT AND GLOBAL'S PROVISION OF SECURITY BOND

FairPoint asked this Commission for permission to block interstate telecommunications traffic from Global, on the grounds that Global had failed to pay standard access rates for the traffic it sent to FP over the last two years. Motion for Authority to Disconnect Global NAPs (Filed May 13, 2010) (Mot. for Disconnection) at ¶¶1,9. Global responded that the parties' ICA contains a prohibition against charging traffic that touches the internet at higher rates than those set by the FCC for such traffic. Global NAPs' Opposition to FairPoint Motion to Terminate (filed March 25, 2010) (Global Opp.) at 2, 4 (citing §8.1 of FP-Global ICA). Global stated that it had protested the charges and offered to prove promptly that it did in fact send traffic that always touched the internet. Global Opp. at 11-14, 17. This Commission, rather than granting FP's motion, set the dispute down for a pre-hearing conference and a technical hearing on

June 30. Order of Notice (Issued June 9, 2010) at 4. Global attended the technical hearing with technical witnesses and an expert witness who supported its position. FairPoint did not bring any witnesses.

The result of the hearing was a briefing schedule ending on July 26. *See* Staff
Report on Technical Session (Issued July 2, 2010) at 2. Nevertheless, FairPoint renewed
its threat, stated in its letter sent before the hearing, to cut off service unless Global
promptly posted an assurance of \$394,214, which covered two months of service under
FP's disputed rates. FairPoint Demand for Assurance of Payment (Filed June 17, 2010)
at 2. Global then moved this Commission to order FP not to block traffic until its motion
had been resolved. Global NAPs Motion Requesting that the Commission Issue Order to
Prevent FairPoint from Disconnecting Global NAPs before Resolution of Motion to
Disconnect (Filed July 7, 2010) at 2, 6. FP has responded with a defense of its proposed
premature cut-off. FairPoint Objection to Global's Motion (Filed July 14, 2010) (FP
Obj.). In the alternative, FP suggested that the Commission should set a bond, in the new
amount of \$788,428, calculated using the monthly cost of all charges FP had claimed in
its disputed invoices and assuming four more months of service. FP Obj., at 11.

Global has pointed out during these proceedings that the appropriate rate for VoIP compensation is \$.00045. Hearing Trans., at 35, lines 1-4, at 179, lines 29-31, 180, lines 1-12. The FCC has always insisted that enhanced traffic should not be subjected to "rate shock" that would deprive callers of new, low-cost services. Major carriers thus charge

¹ Nat'l Cable & Telecomms, Ass'n v, Brand X Internet Servs., 545 U.S. 967, 977 (2005) (stating that the FCC has found it "... unwise to subject enhanced service to common-carrier regulation given the fast moving competitive market in which they are offered."); Amendments of Part 69 of the Commission's Rules Relating to Enhanced Service Providers, 3 FCC Rcd 2631, 2633 (1988) (stating that "the imposition of access charges ... could cause such disruption...that the provision of enhanced services might be impaired."); In the Matter of MTS and WATS Market Structure, Memorandum Opinion and Order, 97 FCC

\$.00045 for VoIP termination. Verizon, FP's predecessor, testified in a Global case in New York² that they charged VoIP carriers a unitary rate of \$.00045 per MOU. See Attached Transcript. This fact is exhibited (in section 3(a) at 34) in an ICA on file with the Commission, between Verizon and SBC.³ Two things follow from the above: First, the \$.00045 rate, having been approved in filed ICAs (in New Hampshire as well as other states), is based on cost. 47 U.S.C. 252(d)(1)(A)(i). Second, charging a rate higher than \$.00045 for termination of VoIP traffic would be discriminatory, non-cost based and anticompetitive, in violation of the TCA. See 47 U.S.C. 251(c)(2)(D); 47 U.S.C. 252(d)(1)(A)(i-ii).

Accordingly, Global has calculated its probable number of minutes to be sent to FP for termination in New Hampshire during the two months that should be the maximum for terminating this case (Global disagrees with FairPoint's 4-month estimate, given that the briefing of the issues presented in FairPoint's Motion to Disconnect ends this month), and determined that the sum of \$13,500 would cover those minutes at the rate of \$.00045. We enclose with this reply a check to the Commission for deposit in an escrow account. Thus, we accept FP's suggestion that the Commission should set and accept a bond of appropriate size. We assume that FP is saying that, if that is done, it will not cut Global off prior to the end of this proceeding.

²d 682, ¶¶ 77-83 (1983) (noting rate shock that enhanced service providers would experience if full access charges were imposed).

² Manhattan Telecommunications Corp. (MetTel) v. Global NAPs Inc., 2010 WL 1326095 at*1 (S.D.N.Y. Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law issued March 31, 2010).

³ Amendment Available at:

http://www.puc.nh.gov/Telecom/Filings/Interconnection%20Agreements/DT%2008-156%20FairPoint%20and%20ComTech%2021%20LLC%20Interconnection%20Agreement.pdf

Respectfully Submitted,

Joel Davidow

Kile Goekjian Reed McManus, PLLC 1200 New Hampshire Ave. NW

Suite 570

Washington DC 20036 Tel: (202) 659-8000 Fax: (202) 659-8822

Email: jdavidow@kgrmlaw.com Counsel for Global NAPs, Inc.

William Rooney, Jr. Global NAPs, Inc. 89 Access Road, Suite B Norwood, MA 02062 (781) 551-9956 wrooney@gnaps.com

Dated: July 16, 2010

```
9994MAN1
     UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
     SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
 2
 2
 3
      MANHATTAN TELECOMMUNICATIONS CORP.,
 3
 4
 4
                    Plaintiff,
 5
 5
                ᠮ.
                                           08 CV 3829 (JSR)
 6
    GLOBAL NAPS, INC.,
 6
 7
 7
                    Defendant.
 8
 8
      ----X
 9
 9
                                            New York, N.Y.
10
                                            September 9, 2009
10
                                            11:20 a.m.
11
11
12
     Before:
12
13
                           HON. JED S. RAKOFF
13
14
                                           District Judge
14
15
15
                              APPEARANCES
16
16 KLEIN LAW GROUP PLLC
17
         Attorneys for Plaintiff
     BY: ANDREW M. KLEIN
17
18
         ALLEN C. ZORACKI
         BRADLY G. MARKS
18
19
   KILE GOEKJIAN REED McMANUS PLLC
19
        Attorneys for Defendant
20
20
     BY: JOEL DAVIDOW
         MATTHEW P. THIELEMANN
21
21
22
23
24
25
```

Nome what within the first and a second that is a second to the second t

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

9994MAN1 Munsell - cross compensation and you mentioned access charges. Do you recall that? 3 A. Yes. Q. When there is an ICA, that ICA can set up any method of 5 billing at all, can't it; it doesn't have to be either 6 reciprocal comp or access charges? 7 A. I don't know the answer to that. 8 Q. I am saying that the contract rates in an ICA do not have 9 to be the rates that would result from either of the things you 10 mentioned, is that correct? 11 MR. KLEIN: Objection; speculation, ambiguous. 12 MR. DAVIDOW: The witness testified he is an expert in 13 negotiating ICAs? 14 THE COURT: I don't think he did but in any event I 15 think he has indicated previously he doesn't have knowledge to 16 answer this question. But I will allow the question just to 17 make sure that I have an understanding. 18 Do you have the question? 19 THE WITNESS: Yes. 20 THE COURT: What's your answer? 21 THE WITNESS: I don't know. 22 THE COURT: That's the answer: I don't know. 23 Put another question. 24 Q. In regard to carriers who send to traffic and who tell you they are sending you VoIP traffic, have you developed a unitary 25 SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C.

(212) 805-0300

9994MAN1 Munsell - cross rate to charges those carriers? A. In certain contracts, yes. Q. What is that unitary rate? A. I believe it's 00045; it might be 0045. 5 Q. So your first answer was 00045, four one-hundredths of a 6 cent? 7 A. Right. 8 MR. KLEIN: Objection to the characterization of the 9 testimony. 10 THE COURT: Sustained. Q. Would your testimony in Pennsylvania refresh your 11 12 recollection on that point? 13 A. As to the rate? Q. Yes. A. Yes. 14 15 16 Q. When a carrier, would it be the case that --17 THE COURT: I heard from your last question you were 18 going to show him the relevant portion. 19 MR. DAVIDOW: It will take a little while to get; I 20 have to see if there is and break and then come back to that. 21 THE COURT: Go ahead. 22 MR. DAVIDOW: I had not anticipated it would change. THE COURT: Fine. 23 Q. If the carrier did not represent to you that they were 24 25 delivering VoIP, would the rate they would pay you be higher

SOUTHERN DISTRICT REPORTERS, P.C. (212) 805-0300

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have caused copies of the foregoing to be served on the attached service list.

Executed this day, July 16, 2010.

Victoria Romanenko

State of New Hampshire Before the New Hampshire Public Utilities Commission

DT 10-137

Joint Petition of Hollis Telephone Company, Inc., Kearsarge Telephone Company, Merrimack County Telephone Company, and Wilton Telephone Company, Inc., for Authority to Block the Termination of Traffic from Global NAPs, Inc. to Exchanges of the Joint Petitioners in the Public Switched Telephone Network

SERVICE LIST

Original + 7 copies + email:

Debra A. Howland
Executive Director & Secretary
N.H. Public Utilities Commission
21 S. Fruit St., Suite 10
Concord, NH 03301-2429
Executive.director@puc.nh.gov

<u>Via email</u>

Lynn Fabrizio, Esq.
Staff Attorney & Hearings Examiner
NH Public Utilities Commission
21 S. Fruit Street, Suite 10
Concord, NH 03301
Lynn.fabrizio@puc.nh.gov

F. Anne Ross,
Director, Legal Division
NH Public Utilities Commission
21 S. Fruit Street, Suite 10
Concord, NH 03301
F.anne.ross@puc.nh.gov

David Goyette
Utility Analyst II
NH Public Utilities Commission
21 S. Fruit Street, Suite 10
Concord, NH 03301
David.goyette@puc.nh.go

Meredith A. Hatfield Office of Consumer Advocate 21 S. Fruit Street, Suite 18 Concord, NH 03301-2429 meredith.hatfield@puc.nh.gov

Kathryn M. Bailey
Director of Telecommunications
NH Public Utilities Commission
21 S. Fruit Street, Suite 10
Concord, NH 03301
kate. bail ey@puc.nh.gov

Joel Davidow, Esq.
Kile Goekjian Reed McManus PLLC
1200 New Hampshire Ave, NW Suite 570
Washington, DC 20036
jdavidow@kgrmlaw.com

Stephen R. Eckberg
Office of Consumer Advocate
21 S. Fruit St., Suite 18
Concord, NH 03301-2429
(603) 271-1174
Stephen.R.Eckberg@oca.nh.gov

William Rooney, Jr., Esquire Vice President & General Counsel 89 Access Road, Suite B Norwood, MA 02062 wrooney@gnaps.com

Darren R. Winslow, Controller Union Communications 7 Central St., PO Box 577 Farmington, NH 03835-0577 dwinslow@utel.com. (for Union Telephone and BayRing)

Peter R. Healy, Esq.
Corporate and Regulatory Counsel
TDS Telecom
525 Junction Road, Suite 7000
Madison, WI 53717
Peter.healy@tdsmetro.com

Robin E. Tuttle Fairpoint Communications, Inc. 521 E. Morehead St., Suite 250 Charlotte, NC 28202 rtuttle@Fairpoint.com

Debra A. Martone
Merrimack County Telephone Company
PO Box 337
11 Kearsarge Avenue
Contoocook, NH 03229-0337
Debra.martone@tdstelecom.com

Frederick J. Coolbroth
Devine Millimet & Branch
43 North Main Street
Concord, NH 03301
fcoolbroth@devinemillimet.com

Paul J. Phillips, Esq.
Joslyn L. Wilschek, Esq.
Primmer Piper Eggleston & Cramer,
100 East State St., PO Box 1309 Montpelier
VT 05601-1309
(802) 223-2102
pphillis@ppeclaw.com
jwilschek@ppeclaw.com

Michael C. Reed Manager, External Relations TDS Telecom 24 Depot Square, Unit 2 Northfield, VT 05663-6721 mike.reed@tdstelecom.com

Chris Rand Granite State Telephone 600 South Stark Highway PO Box 87 Weare, NH 03281 crand@gstnetworks.com

Patrick C. McHugh
Devine Millimet & Branch
43 North Main Street
Concord, NH 03301
pmchugh@devinemillimet.com

Michael J. Morrissey
Fairpoint Communications, Inc.
521 E Morehead St., Suite 250
Charlotte, NC 28202
mmorrissey@fairpoint.com

William Stafford
Granite State Telephone
600 South Stark Hwy
PO Box 87
Weare, NH 03281
bstafford@gstnetworks.com

Jody O'Marra NH Public Utilities Commission 21 S. Fruit St., Suite 10 Concord, NH 03301-2429 Jody.omarra@puc.nh.gov Kath Mullholand Segtel Inc. PO Box 610 Lebanon, NH 03766 kath@segtel.com

Ben Thayer
Bayring Communications
359 Corporate Drive
Portsmouth, NH 03801-2888
bthayer@bayring.com

Amanda Noonan
Consumer Affairs Director
NH Public Utilities Commission
21 S. Fruit St., Suite 10
Concord, NH 03301-2429
Amanda.noonan@puc.nh.gov